## explanation of the plates.

Plate XIV.<br>Cranir of Potamochuerus, side view. $\frac{1}{4}$ natural sizs.<br>Fig. 1. Potamocherus cheropotamus llemonis, 오. B.M. 92.4.24.4.<br>2. P. lervatus, ㅇ. From Ampitambé forest (Madagascar).<br>3. P. johnstoni, Y . Type. B.M. 91.5.9.5.<br>4. P. chœropotamus nyase, ठ'. B.M. 94.3.18.9.<br>\section*{Plate XXVI.}<br>Crania of Potamochcerus, upper view. $\frac{1}{4}$ natural size.<br>Fig. 1. Potamoch凶rus johnstoni, ㅇ. Type. B.M. 91.5.9.5.<br>2. P. larvatus, ㅇ. (Ampitambè, Madagascar.)<br>3. P. cheropotamus demonis, ㅇ. B.M. Y2.4.24.4.<br>4. P. chueropotamus nyasce, ठ' B.1. 94.3.18.9.

April 6, 1897.
W. T. Blanford, Esq., F.R.S., Vice-President, in the Chair.

The Secretary read the following report on the additions to the Society's Menagerie during the month of March 1897 :-

The total number of registered additions to the Society's Menagerie during the month of March was 152 , of which 44 were by presentation, 2 by birth, 42 by purchase, 30 were received in exchange, and 34 ou deposit. The total number of departures during the same period by death and removals was 106.

Amongst the additions attention way be called to two examples of the Indiau Pigmy Goose (Nettopus coromandelianus), presented by Frank Finn, Esq., B.A., F.Z.S., of Calcutta, on March 22nd. Many attempts have previously been made to introduce this bird into Europe, but without success; and these are the first specinens that have reached the Society's Gardens alive.

The Secretary exhibited, on behalf of Mr. A. J. Lawford Jones, a curious cinnamon-coloured variety of the Blackbird (Turdus merula), which had beeu captured near Dorking, Surrey.

The following papers were read :-

1. On the Myology of the Terrestrial Carnivora.-Part I. Muscles of the Head, Neck, and Fore-Limb. By B. C. A. Windle, M.A., M.D., D.Sc., Professor of Anatomy at Mason College, Birmingham, and F. G. Parsons, F.R.C.S., F.Z.S., F.L.S., Lecturer on Comparative Anatomy at St. Thomas's Hospital.
[Received February 11, 1897.]
During the last four or five years a considerable number of bodies of carnivorous animals have come into our possession, partly

H. Gronvold del.

Fig. 1. Potamochœrus chœropotamus dæmonis. Fig. 2. P. larvatus. Fig. 3. P. johnstoni. Fig. 4. P. chœeropotamus nyasæ.


through the generosity of this Society, partly from other sources. We have therefore thought it advisable to collaborate in working through this material and in comparing our results with the numerous published records on the myology of Carnivora which are scattered through zoological literature. We are also greatly indebted to Prof. Macalister for several unpublished records of dissections. Altogether we find that we have more or less complete accounts of 79 animals, a number which, we think, justifies us in making an attempt at a comprehensive review of the order-a review which, though it cannot be final, may indicate the present state of our knowledge of the subject, and may serve to direct the attention of future observers towards points which they might otherwise overlook. The great difficulty in a work of this kind is to avoid recording twice observations made by one observer and quoted by another, and thus giving a false idea of the frequency of variations: for instance, it is easy to find a great many statements about the muscles of the Dog and Cat scattered about, but it is often impossible to make out whether the writer really dissected the animal or is relying on the description of someone else. For this reason we have decided to err on the side of safety, and have excluded a good many statements about the originality of which we were doubtful.

With a view to prevent any confusion betiveen the facts which we have observed ourselves and those quoted from others, we commence our paper with a numbered list of all the animals referred to. When a Roman numeral follows an animal's name it refers to the bibliography at the end of the paper; when no such numeral follows, the auimal has been dissected by ourselves.

In the text, whenever an animal is mentioued, an ordinary numeral is placed after it to show which specimen in our list is referred to; when more than one numeral is present it shows that the statement holds good for more than one animal.

This first part of the paper is devoted to statements of facts : we propose to reserve all conclusions and generalizations until the whole of the muscles have been described and compared.

List of Specimens of Animals referred to.

## Felide.

1. Lion ( $F$. leo). Macalister (uupublished).

1 a. Iion (F. leo). Cuvier \& Laurillard. (V.)
2. Lion (F. leo). Haughton. (III.)
3. Tiger ( $F$. tigris). Macalister (unpublished).
4. Leopard (F. pardus). Macalister (unpublished).
5. Leopard ( $F^{\prime}$. pardus). Cuvier \& Laurillard. (VI.)
6. Cat (F. catus). Mivart. (I.)
7. Cat (F. catus). Straus-Durckheim. (II.)

7 a. Cat (F. catus). Meckel. (XXXIX.)
8. Caracal (F. caracal). Perrin. (XXIX.)
9. Cheetah (Cyncelurus jubatus). Ross. (IV.)

## Viverrid.e.

10. Fossa (Cryptoprocta ferox).
11. Fossa (C'ryptoprocta ferox). Beddard. (V1I.)
12. Civet (Viverra civetta). Young. (VIII.)
13. Civet (Viverra civetta). Macalister. (IX.)
14. Civet (Viverra civetta). Devis. (X.)
15. Rasse (Vivervicula malaccensis).
16. Blotched Genet (Genetta tigrina). Mivart. (XI.)

16 a. Blotched Genet (Genetta tigrina).
17. Common Genet (Genettu vulyaris). Cavier\&Laurillard. (XII.)
18. Common Genet (Geretta vulyaris).
19. Palm Civet (Paradoxurus typus).
20. Palm Civet (Paradorurus typus).
21. Palm Civet (Parudocurus typus). Perrin. (XXIX.)
22. Ichneumon (Herpestes). Meckel. (XXXIX.)
23. Ichneumon (Herpestes nepalensis).
24. Ichneumon (Herpestes griseus).
25. Aard Wolf (Proteles cristatus). Watson. (XIII.)

## Hyexide.

26. Striped Hyæna (Hycena striata). Young \& Robinson. (XIV.)
27. Striped Hyæna (H. striata). Meckel. (XXXIX.)
28. Striped Hyæna (H. striata). Cuvier \& Laurillard. (XVI.)
29. Spotted Hyæna (H. crocuta). Watson \& Young. (XV.)
30. Brown Hyæna (H. brunnea). Murie. (XVII.)

## Canide.

31. Fox-terrier (Canis familiaris).
32. Irish Terrier (C. familiaris). Haughton. (XVIII.)
33. Greyhound (C. familiaris). Haughton. (XVIIL.)
34. Greyhound (C. fumiliaris). Macalister (unpublished).
35. Pointer (C. familiaris). Macalister (unpublished).
36. Setter (C. familiaris). Macalister (unpublished).
37. Bull-dog (C. familiaris). Macalister (unpublished).
38. Dog (? var.) (C. familiaris). Meckel. (XXXIX.)
39. Dog (? var.) (C. familiaris). Cuvier \& Laurillard. (XIX.)
40. Dingo (C. diago). Haughton. (XVIII.)
41. Jackal (C. aureus). Macalister (unpublished).
42. Aretic Fox (C. lagopus). Macalister (unpublished).
43. Common Fox (C.vulpes). Dieck. (XXXVIII.)
44. Cape Dog (Lycaon pictus). Pagenstecher. (XL.)

## Urside.

45. Polar Bear (Ursus maritimus). Kelley. (XXIV.)
46. Polar Bear (U.maritimus). Meckel. (XXXIX.)
47. Brown Bear (U. arctos). Meckel. (XXXIX.)
48. Black Bear (U. americanus).
49. Black Bear (U. americanus). Shepherd. (XX.)
50. Black Bear (U. ameriranus). Testut. (XXIII.)
51. Black Bear (U. americanus). Haughton. (XXI.)
52. Black Bear (U. americanus). Cuvier \& Laurillard. (XXII.)

Procyonide.
53. Common Racoon (Procyon lotor).
54. Common Racoon (P. lotor). Allen. (XXVI.) No. 1.
55. Common Racoon ( $P$. lotor). Allen. (XXVI.) No. 2.
56. Common Racoon ( $P$. lotor). Meckel. (XXXIX.)
57. Crab-eating Racoon (P. cancrivorus). Windle. (XXV.)
58. White-nosed Coati (Nasua nasica). Mackintosh. (XXVII.)
59. Brown Coati (Nasua fusca). Mackintosh. (XXVII.)
60. Red Coati (Nasua rufa). Cuvier \& Laurillard. (XXVIII.)
$60 a$. Coati (Nasua sp. inc.). Meckel. (XXXIX.)
61. Kinkajou (Cercoleptes caudivolvulus).
62. Kinkajou (Cercoleptes caudivolvulus). Perrin. (XXIX.)

## Mcstelide.

63. Grison (Galictis vittata).
64. Tayra (Galictis barbara). Macalister. (IX.)
65. Polecat (Mustela putorius). Alix. (XXX.)
66. Beech Marten (Mustela foina). Cuvier \& Laurillard. (XXXI.)
67. Beech Marten (Mustela foina). Meckel. (XXXIX.)
68. Beech Marten (Mustela foina). Perrin. (XX1X.)
69. Cape Polecat (Ictonyx zorilla).
70. Libyan Polecat (Ictonyx libyca).
71. Badger (Meles tarus). Macalister (nupublished).
72. Badger (Meles taxus). Cuvier \& Laurillard. (XXXIII.)
73. Badger (Meles taxus). Meckel. (XXXIX.)

73 a. Badger (Meles lawus). Haughton. (XXXII.)
74. Common Otter (Lutra vulgaris).
75. Common Otter (Lutra vulgaris). Lucae. (XXXVI.)
76. Common Otter (Lutra vulyaris). Cuvier \& Laurillard. (XXXV.)
77. Common Otter (Lutra vulgaris). Meckel. (XXXIX.)
78. Indian Otter (Lutra cinerea). Macalister. (XXXVII.)
79. Common Otter (Lutra vulgaris). Haughton. (XXXIV.)

## Muscles of the Heall and Neck.

Facial Muscles.-The most superficial and at the same time the most important of the facial muscles is the platysma; this is continued backwards from the orbicularis oris and depressor muscles of the mouth towards the back of the animal's neck and covers very little of the ventral surface of the throat. It evidently corresponds more especially to that part of the platysma which in Man is called the risorins (see figs. 2 and 3, p. 375). Two muscles are partly covered by this: the first rises from the anterior part of the root of the ear and runs downwards and forwards to the deep
surface of the platrsma, and so reaches the angle of the month. In the Polecats $(69,70)$, where the head is a good deal elongated, this muscle, instead of coming all the way from the ear, rises from the anterior part of the zygoma just behind the orbicularis palpehrarum (see fig. 3, p. 375 ). As we think it an adrantage, wherever possible, to use the names familiar to human anatomists, we shall speak of this as the levator anguli oris, though it only comes from the zygoma in certain cases.

The second muscle which is covered by the platysma is the sterno-facialis or sphincter colli: this rises from the back of the root of the ear and meets its fellow of the opposite side in the midventral line of the neck, covering in its course the parotid gland (see fig. 2, p. 375). In the Felidæ this muscle is strongly marked

$$
\text { Fig. } 1 .
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Face-muscles of Lutra vilyaris.
and the anterior part comes from the fascia over the masseter. In no case that we have seen does it reach, as in the rodents, to the sternum ${ }^{1}$ (XLI.). The orbicularis palpebrarum is not rery strongly marked, from its posterior edge two or three bundles of muscular fibres run backwards and act as retractors of the angle of the eye; these are best seen in the Canidæ. The levator labii superioris is always well marked and passes from the anterior angle of the eye to the upper lip, deep to it is a plane of muscle acting on the nose. The orbicularis oris is well marked. The occipito-frontalis is a plane of fibres which covers the scalp; the lateral part of the frontalis is attached posteriorly to the ear and forms the anterior auricular muscle, while the lateral part of the occipitalis is attached anteriorly to the ear and is thickened to form the transrersus nuchæ; deep to this are one or two posterior auricular muscles.

It will thus be seen that the main muscles of the face are disposed in such a way as to draw the soft parts of the lips and nose clear of the teeth, and also to lay back the ears; the sphincter

[^0]colli would tend to draw the skin of the neck forwards and throw it into wrinkles, possibly with a protective object in case of the animal being seized by the throat. We hare not sufficient material to contrast the different families of Carnivora so far as their facial

Fig. 2.


Face-muscles of Canis familiaris
(For Risorius read Zygomaticus.)
Fig. 3.


Face-muscles and panuiculus of Ictonyw libyca.
muscles go with any certainty; but from what we have observed we should say that the Felidæ excel in the development of the sphincter coli, the Canidæ in that of the risorius and zygomaticus
and in the greater differentiation of the muscular planes giving an increased power of expression. In C. vulpes (43), Dieck (XXXVIII.) describes almost as many muscles as in the human face, but speaking generally his description agrees with our own. The other families seem to resemble the Canidæ more than the Felidæ, especially in the lesser development of the sphincter colli. In Nasua (60) there is a strong levator alæ nasi divided into two bundles, which give the great mobility to that creature's snout.

Temporal.-The temporal muscle rises from the side of the skull as high as the sagittal crest when this is present and as far back as the occipital curved line. There is always a tendinous plane in the substance of the muscle which divides it into two fleshy layers and to which both these layers are attached. In Ictonyx (70) and Lutra (74) it was noticed that this plane was only present in the anterior part of the muscle. As a rule the muscles of opposite sides meet at the sagittal crest, but in Ictonyx (70) and Canis (31) they are separated by a slight interval, while in Lutra ( 74,75 ), owing to the breadth of the skull, a considerable space divides them. In Viverra civetta, Young (12) states that the temporal is with difficulty separable from the masseter. Watson (XV.) and Young (XIV.) have drawn attention to the great development of the masticatory muscles in the Hyænidæ.

Masseter.-This muscle is always very strong. It rises from the whole length of the zygomatic arch as well as from the deep surface of the temporal fascia above the arch. As a rule the muscle can easily be separated into a superficial and a deeper layer; the former of these rises from the outer surface of the malar bone and from the anterior half of the zygoma, its fibres run downward and backward to be inserted into the lower border of the mandible near the angular process, where they blend slightly with those of the internal pterygoid, they are also inserted into the lower part of the external surface of the ramus. The deep part rises from the whole length of the zygomatic arch, its fibres converging on to the upper part of the surface of the ramus of the mandible. In Procyon lotor (53) it was noticed that this deep part was again easily separable into two layers, superficial and deep, an arrangement which, however, we have not seen in auy other animal.

Buccinator.--This muscle is fairly well developed in all Carnivora, but shows nothing of special interest. It is attached to the alveolar margins of both jaws and blends anteriorly with the orbicularis oris.

Pterygoids.--The external and internal pterygoids are with difficulty separable in Carnivora ; the former rises from the external surface of the palatine bone and is inserted into the upper part of the internal surface of the ramus of the mandible. The internal pterygoid rises below and internal to the last, and is inserted into the mandible near its angle and into the stylo-mandibular ligament.

Difastric.-This, in spite of its name, is really a monogastric muscle in the Carnivora; it is thick and strong and rises from the
paroccipital process and often from the contiguous paramastoid and bulla tympani ; it is inserted into the body of the lower jaw midway between the angle and symphysis (see fig. 4, p. 378). It has no connexion with the hyoid bone, and the only evidence of a separation into two bellies is a feeble line of tendon about the middle, which is quite superficial and does not extend into the interior of the muscle. In some cases, e. g. Civetta (12), Genetta (18), Ursus (52), Nusucu (60), and Ictonyjx (70), no tendinous intersection at all was noticed. In spite of its appearance the anterior part of the muscle is supplied by the mylo-hyoid, and the posterior by the facial nerve.
Mylo-hyoid. - The mylo-hyoid has the same attachments as in Man (see fig. 4, p. 378). It usually extends as far forwards as the symphysis menti, but in Canis (31), Hyence striata (28), and H. crocutc (29) it ends anteriorly in an angle, the convexity of which is towards, but does not reach the symphysis. In Procyon lotor (53) the arrangement is the same as in the Canidæ and Hyænidæ, but Nasuc rufa (60) agrees with the rest of the Carnivora in this respect.

Genio-hyoid and Genio-hyoglossus.-Nothing remarkable was noticed about these muscles. They have the usual human attachments.

Stylo-hyoid.-The typical carnivorons stylo-hyoid seems to consist of two parts, superficial and deep: the former is a small slip which rises from near the root of the paroccipital process and passes over the digastric, to reach the hyoid bone; the latter rises deep to the origin of the digastric and is usually inserted into the epihyal element of the hyoid arcl, it is sometimes spoken of as the masto-hyoid (see fig. 4, p. 378). This arrangement was noticed in F. catus (7), Genetta (17, 18), Herpestes (24), Cryptoprocta (10), Canis (39), and Cercoleptes (61).
In the Mustelidæ the superficial part was not seen, but unless looked for it may easily escape notice, and this is also the case with the deep part.

It is possible that the human arrangement of the stylo-hyoid, in which the digastric tendon passes through it, may be explained by looking upon the haman muscle as a combination of the stylohyoid and masto-hyoid of the Carnivora.

Styloglossus.-This is always present and is by far the best developed of all the styloid muscles in Carnivora; it rises from the stylo- or epi-hyal elements of the hyoid arch, and passes to the superficial side of the hyoglossus as in Man (see fig. 4, p. 378).

Cerato-hyoid.-This muscle is described by Strans-Durckheim in the Cat (II.) and by Alix in the Polecat (XXX.); it passes from the thyro-hyal to the cerato-hyal elements of the hyoid apparatus. We have failed to notice it in any of the animals which we have dissected, while in Genetta (18) and Herpestes (24) we specially looked for it without success.

Stylo-pharyngeus.-The stylo-pharyngeus is always present though small; it rises from the deep surface of the stylo-hyal and passes to the pharynx.

Proc. Zool. Soc.-1897, No. XXV.

Sterno-mastoid.--This muscle rises from the anterior part of the sternum and is inserted into the occipital curved line, the paramastoid, and sometimes the paroccipital process ; it may be more or less fused with the cleido-mastoid at its insertion. Among the Tiverride Meckel (XXXIX.) has noticed that the sterno-mastoid consists of two layers, superficial and deep. in Herpestes (22), and we have confirmed his observation in $H$. nepalensis (23) and griseus (24). In Genetta motyoris (18) the same arrangement exists, but it was not noticed in Paradowurs typus $(10,20)$ or


Throat-muscles of Lutra vulgaris.
Cryptoprocta (10) ; and neither Young (YIII.) nor Macalister (IX.) make any mention of a bilaminar condition in Fiverra $(12,13)$. Cuvier and Laurillard (XII.) figure the trapezius as rising from the sternum in the Genet, and Lucae (XXXVI.) does the same in the Otter, so that probably the explanation of the bilaminar sternomastoid is that the superficial layer is really trapezius, and that in those animals in which the muscle is unilaminar the sternomastoid and trapezius have completely fused. In many cases the sterno-mastoid is fused with its fellow of the opposite side near its origin in the posterior part of the neck; this seems to ocrur most often among the Hyæuidæ, Canidx, Ursidx, Procyonidæ, and Mustelidæ, though it does not always happen in these families-for instance, the two sterno-mastoids were fused in the
posterior third of the neck in Uisus maritimus (45), in two specimens of U. americanus. 50,52 ), in Procyon lotor (53), in four specimens of Lutra vulyaris ( $74,75,77,79$ ), and in Macalister's specimen of Viverva civettr (IX.), while in one specimen of Ursus americanus (49), in Nasua rufa (60), in Cercoleptes (61), in Jetonyx. libyca (70), and in Young's specimen of Viver'ra civetta (VIII.) they were separate. In no animal belonging to the Felidæ ${ }^{1}$ have we found any fusion between the opposite sterno-mastoids, and the same applies to the Viverridæ with the exception of one Civet (13), Genet (18), and C'ryptoprocta (10).

In many animals, e. g. Procyon lotor (53), Ursus maritimus (45), U. americanus (49), Lutra (74), and Herpestes (24), some of the dorsal fibres of the sterno-mastoid run forward and upward to join the contiguous cephalo-humeral.

In Hycence striata (26, 27, 28), H. crocutc (29), and H. brumert (30) the muscle is divided into an inuer and an outer portion, the former going to the paramastoid process, the latter to the occipital ridge. This arrangement may therefore be taken as typical of the Hyænidæ, and it is interesting to notice that in Proteles (25) the same arrangement was found.

Cleido-mastoid.-This muscle, as has already been pointed out, is usually distinct from the sterno-mastoid though it may be fused with it at its insertion. It rises from the rudimentary clavicle or, when that is absent, from the tendinous intersection in the cephalohumeral muscle; it passes forwards to be inserted into the paroccipital process deep to the insertion of the sterno-mastoid. The spinal accessory (XIth) nerve seems to have a most constant relation to this muscle, first piercing it and then running between it and the sterno-mastoid to the trapezius.

In Cercoleptes (61) the nerve passed entirely between the two muscles, but this was the ouly exception with which we met. It is interesting to contrast the behaviour of the spinal accessory in the Carnivora and Rodentia (XLI.): in the former it pierces the cleido-mastoid, in the latter it passes deep to that muscle.

Sterno-hyoid, Sterno-thyroid, and Thyro-hyoid.-Owing to the narrowness of the sternum, the twe first-named muscles rise largely from the first rib. In Proteles, Watson (IIII.) describes the two sterno-hyoid muscles of opposite sides as being fused in the middle line, but this was not noticed in the Hyænidæ or indeed in any other animal. In Lutra cinerea, Macalister (XXXVII.) describes a tendinous intersection in both the sternohyoid and sterno-thyroid; we found the same thing in the Dog (31), thongh in that animal the steruo-hyoid and thyroid were fused on the caudal side of the intersection. Devis's specimen of Viverra civetta (X.) seems to hare showu a similar arrangement. In the other animals examined the muscles had the usual human attachments.

Omo-kyoid.-When this muscle is present it has the usual

[^1] does or does not exist.
attachments to the hyoid bone and anterior border of the scapula, there is no central tendon as in Man, and in no case was any connection with the clavicle noticed. Among the Felidæ it is apparently nerer present; it is certainly absent in $F$. leo ( $1,1 a$ ), $F$. tigris (3), F. pardus (4), F. catus ( 6,7 ), and $F$. caracal (8), while Ross makes no mention of it in Cymuelurus jubutus (IV.). Among the Viverridx it is absent in Cryptoprocta (10), in Viverra civetta (12, 13, 14), in $V$. malaccensis (15), in Genetta (17, 18), and in Herpestes (23, 24). Three specimens of Paradoxurus typus were examined ( $19,20,21$ ), in one of which (19) a slender omo-hyoid was found though it was absent in the others. In Proteles (25) it is absent. Among the Hyænidæ it was fomd by Meckel in H. striata (XXXIX.), but was absent in two other specimens ( 26,28 ); it is also wanting in $M$. crocuta (29). In the Canidæ it was absent in three Dogs dissected by Macalister (35, $36, \& 41$ ), and in one dissected by ourselves (31). Testut (XXIII.) also describes it as wanting in the Dog. In the other Canidæ of which we have records, no mention is made of it. In the Ursida it is present in $U$. maritimus (45) and in U. amiericanus ( $48,49,50$ ). In the Procyonide it was not seen in P. lotor (53) or Nasua (58, 60, XXIII.), but was present in two specimens of Cercoleptes (61, 62). In the Mustelidæ it was found in Galictis vittata (63), Ictony.x zorilla (69), and I. libyca (70), feebly developed in Meles (71) and Mustela foina (68), well developed in two specimens of Lutra vulgaris ( 74,78 ) (see fig. 4, p. 378) and in Lutra cinerea (78). On the other hand, it is absent in Galictis barbara (64).

From previous experience of this muscle we are inclined to lay a good deal of stress on its classificatory value; this opinion our study of the Carnivora confirms, since its presence is almost confined to the Ursidæ and Mustelidæ, though it occasionally occurs in other families.

Omo-trachelian.-This muscle is most constant in the Carnivora; it always rises from the transverse process of the atlas and runs backwards to be inserted into the acromion process of the scapula close to the metacromial tubercle. In its course it is pierced by several of the cervical nerves from which it receives its supply. It always appears on the surface between the cervical (cephalo-humeral) and anterior thoracic portions of the trapezius, and hence is often described as piereing that muscle. It was found in every recorded dissection of Carnivora except in Young's specimen of Viverra civetta (VIII.); this, however, was evidently abnormal, since Devis (X.), Macalister (IX.), and Meckel (XXXIX.) found it present in that animal. It will be readily understood that this is the same muscle as the trachelo-acromial, acromio-atlantal, and levator claricule ${ }^{1}$ of other authors. After considerable thought we have preferred the term "ono-trachelian" as giving the best description of the muscle throughout the Mammalia (see fig. 5, p. 381).

[^2]Rhomboideus profundus (Trachelo-scapular, Levator scapulæ minor).-This has been described by Macalister (XXXVII.) as a lutrine muscle, but our own observations make us regard it as one of the most characteristic features of the whole of the Mustelidæ. It occurs in Galictis vittata (63), Galictis barbara (64), Mustela putorius (65), Ictonyx zorilla (69), Ictonyx libyca (70), Meles taxus (71, 72), Lutra vulgaris (74, 75, 79), and Lutra sinerea (78).

Fig. 5.


Neck-muscles of Ictonyx libyca.
Outside the Mustelidæ we only find it in two specimens of Cercoleptes (61, 62), which is of increased interest when we recall the evidence of the omo-hyoid in that animal.

The origin is from the transverse process of the atlas, the insertion into the root of the scapular spine. In many cases the muscle has been described either as part of the rhomboideus capitis or of the acromio-trachelian, since it is connected with the latter at its origin and with the former at its insertion. It is supplied by the cervical nerves (see fig. 5).

Rectus capitis ventralis (anticus) major and minor.-Both these muscles have the same attachments as in Man, the major coming from 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th cervical transverse processes, and the minor from the ventral arch of the atlas; they are both inserted into the basioccipital.

Longus colli.-The longus colli consists chiefly of the anterior and posterior oblique parts: the latter rises from the anterior thoracic centra as far back as the 5th or 6th, and is inserted into the transverse processes of the posterior cervical vertebre ; the
former rises from the mid-cervical transverse processes, and is inserted into the rentral arch of the atlas as well as into the centrum of the second and sometimes the third cervical vertebra.

Scalenus ventralis (Scalenus anticus).-If by scalenus anticus we understand a muscle which passes from the ventral side of the cervical transverse processes to the first rib, ventral to the subclavian artery and brachial plexus, that muscle is very rare in the Carnivora. This is borne out by Gilis's researches (XLIII.); he says that the plexus passes anterior (ventral) to all the scalenes in Caruivora. Strans-Durckheim thinks that in the Cat (II.) the scalenus anticns has becone fused with the rectus capitus anticus major, and describes it under the name of "Isoscèle." Alix (XXX.) says that it is represented in Mustela putorius by some fibres which are ventral to the transverse processes and are separated from the rest of the scalene mass by the nerves. We agree with Alix that nothing should be looked upon as scalenus anticus which is not rentral to the brachial plexus. In Viverra civetta both Macalister (IX.) and Young (VIIL.) describe three scalenes; Devis (X.) also speaks of a scalenus anticus in this animal, but in his case the muscle was dorsal to the nerves.

Murie (XVII.) speaks of a scalenus anticus in Hycena brumea, but we think that it must have been a part of what we term scalenus longus, since it was attached to the 4th and 5th ribs and no mention is made of its relation to the brachial plexus. In no other carnivorous animal is there any mention of a scalenus anticus.

Scalemus longus and brevis.-These muscles are always present. The former rises from four or five cervical transverse processes but never reaches the first; it is inserted into the vuter surfaces

Fig. 6.


Scalene muscles of Canis familiaris.
of 3,4 , or 5 ribs, beginning at the 3 rd or 4 th. In Cercoleptes (61), however, it is very well developed and is inserted from the 3rd to the 8th rib. At its insertion the muscle interdigitates with the serraius magnus and its ventral fibres reach farthest back. The
sealenus brevis lies ventral to the longus and rises from the 万th and 6th cervical transverse processes, and is inserted into the first rib dorsal to the brachial plexus. In Procyon (53) it rises from the 3 rd , 4th, and 5th vertebra, some of its fibres being continued into the supracostalis and a few into the rectus abdominis. As the names scalenus auticus, medius, and posticus give a wrong idea of the position of these muscles in quadruped animals, we have ventured to substitute those of ventralis, brevis, and longus, more especially as we are not quite convinced that the longus corresponds entirely to the human posticus (see fig. 6, p. 38\%).

Muscles of the Dorsum of the Nech. -These muscles we find it more convenient to reserve until we deal with those of the trumk.

## Muscles of the Aaterior Extremity-Pectoral Muscles.

It is donbtful whether any satisfactory division into pectordis major and minor is possible in the Carnivora. Young, in his description of Viverra civette, says that " the pectoralis minor is wanting as usual in Carnivora" (VIII.). On the other hand, Shepherd and Testut (XXIII.) describe a detinite pectoralis minor in the Black Bear (XI.). Occasionally some of the deeper fibres of the mass are inserted into the region of the coracoid process and shoulder-joint, but they are not constant even in different specimens of the same auimal. As a rule four fairly distinct parts may be distinguished, and the most satisfactory mode of description seems to be to take an animal like the Racoon, which occupies an intermediate position in the Order, as a type and to notice the chief differences in other tuimals. In Procyon lotor (53), then, one portion (a) rises from the anterior half of the sternum and is inserted into the pectoral ridge in the middle two-fourths of the humerus, the fibres rumning transversely (this is Windle's Superficial Manubrial factor) (XLVII.). Auother part ( $\beta$ ) comes from the anterior quarter of the sternum, deep to the last, and is inserted into the humerus from the great tuberosity to the middle; the fibres of this part run forward and outward (Windle's Deep Manubrial) (XLVIL.). The third part ( $\gamma$ ) rises from the middle of the sternum to the sterno-xiphoid articulation; it is inserted deep to the last into the second quarter of the humerus and is joined on its deep surface by the abdominal and dorsal paniculus, with which it is more or less continuous. This part represents all that there is of the abdominal pectoral or pectoralis quartus, and corresponds to Windle's Superficial Gladiolar (XLVII.). The fourth part ( $\delta$ ) may possibly represent the pectoralis minor ; it is the deepest layer of all and rises from the middle two-fourths of the sternum, running obliquely forward and outward to the head of the humerus and capsule of the shoulder (Windles Costal factor) (XLVII.). It will be noticed that each of these last three layers is deeper than the one before it at its insertion. The proportions and amount of distinctness of the different parts of the pectoral rary infinitely and are seldom alike in two specimens of
the same animal; still, so far as our observations go, the four-layered muscle is the most generalized type and corresponds to the human three-layered pectoralis major and the pectoralis minor. The above description corresponds as closely as can be expected with Allen's Procyon lotor (XXVI.). Procyon cancrivorus (57) agrees with $P$. lotor except that $\beta$ is inserted largely into the shoulder capsule, while $\delta$ was not distinguished. The Mustelidæ resemble

Fig. 7.


Pectoral muscles and pamiculus of Lutra vulgaris.
the Procyonidx in the feeble development of the pectoralis quartus (see fig. 7) ; in some animals, howerer, e. g. Proteles (25), this part is much more marked, rising from a considerable distance back along the linea alba and being connected by the panniculus to the latissimus dorsi in such a manner that the floor of the axilla is quite closed in by muscular fibres. In the Hyænidæ the muscle is very strong and is inserted into the whole length of the humerus: moreover it does not appear to be as broken up as in other Car-
nivora. In Herpestes griseus (2t) some of the deeper fibres of the pectoral were continuous with the supraspinatus.

Trapezius.-The first part of the trapezius (clavo-cucullaris of Straus-Durckheim) is continuous with the clavicular part of the deltoid, forming the cephalo-humeral muscle. It rises from the curved line of the occiput and from the ligamentum nuchæ and is usually well developed in Carnivora, especially in the Hyænidæ. When it reaches the region of the rudimentary clavicle there is usually a tendinous intersection marking the line of demarcation between the trapezial and deltoid elements of the muscle; at this point it is usually joined by a part or the whole of the cleido-mastoid muscle. In some cases, e. g. Procyon lotor (53) and Lutra vulgaris (74), the clavicle is fairly developed, and in these only a few fibres are attached to the bone which lies deep to the aforesaid tendinous intersection ${ }^{1}$. Besides the union with the cleido-mastoid at the intersection, slips are often given to or received from the sterno-mastoid; this is most marked in Lutra (74), in which the two muscles are largely fused, and also in Ictonyx ( 70 ). The second part of the trapezius (acromio-cucullaris) is very constant; it rises from the ligamentum nuchæ and anterior thoracic spines and is inserted into the anterior lip of the spine of the scapula. At its insertion it is in close contact with the acromio-trachelian, and in Viverricula malaccensis (15) and to a less extent in Canis familiaris (31) the two muscles are fused here. In Ictonyx zorilla (69), I. Tybica (70), Nasua (58), and Cercoleptes $(61,62)$ the posterior part of the origin of the acromiocucullaris has no attachment to the thoracic spines, but is united to the muscle of the opposite side by a tendinous junction. The third part of the trapezius (dorso-cucullaris) rises from the posterior thoracic spines and is inserted into the base of the spine of the scapula. In Cyncelurus (9) the second part, according to Ross, rose from the ligamentum nuchæ and 7th cervical spine, while the third part came from the anterior six thoracic spines. In some cases-e. g. Genetta vulgaris (18), G. tigrina (16 a), Hycena striata (26), Procyon lotor (53), Galictis vittata (63), Ictonyx zorilla (69), I. lybica ( 70 ), and Lutra vulgaris (74)-the third is separated from the second part by a fibrous interval ; in other cases the two parts are in close contact: thongh the arrangement does not seem to depend in any way on the relationship of the varions animals, since Procyon lotor (53) has a separation, while in P. cuncrivorus (57) the two parts are continuous. It may be noticed that in all Carnivora the second and third parts of the trapezins are feebly developed in comparison with the first; this is true even of the Hyænidæ, in which the neck and shoulder muscles are so very powerful.

Latissimus dorsi.-The latissimus dorsi rises from a large number

[^3]of the posterior thoracic spines, usially from the 4 th or 5 th to the last, from the lumbar aponeurosis, and sometimes from the last three ribs. No muscular fibres can be traced to the ilium. At its insertion it usually divides into two layers, the anterior of which unites with the dorso-lateral panniculus to be inserted deep to the pectoral into the pectoral ridge of the humerus; while the deep is more or less united with the tendon of the teres major, though it is seldom twisted round that tendon as it is in the Rodents and in Man. These two parts enclose the biceps. In Cymetherus (9), Procyon (53, 54), Musteln putorius (65), and Lutra (74) it rises from the last three ribs; in Canis (31) from the last two; in Viverra civettu (12), Genetta (18), Proteles (25), Hycena (rocuta (29), and H. bremea (30) it has no attachment to the ribs. In the other animals, unfortunately, no record has been kept as to whether it rose from ribs or not. Proryon cancrivorus (5a) is remarkable for having the muscle divided into an anterior and posterior part ; the former, which is the larger, joins the teres major and gives off the dorso-epitrochlearis, while the latter joins the dorso-humeral panuiculus and is inserted with it deep to the pectoral.

Dorso-epitrochlearis.-This muscle shows frequent variations in its size and attachments; its usual origin, as in most other animals, is from the latissimus dorsi just before the latter becomes tendinons; it then runs down the inner side of the triceps to be inserted into the inner side of the olecranon process as well as into the fascia of the forearm (see fig. 8, p. 390). It is supplied by the musculo-spiral nerve. In the Cat, Mivart (I.) describes two muscles which he calls external and internal, the former rising from the spine of the scapula, the latter from the surface of the latissimus dorsi; these unite before their insertion. StrausDurckheim, in his work on the Cat (II.), only records the internal of these. In one specinen of Parudoxurus (19) the muscle rose from a sling over the axillary ressels reaching from the latissimus dorsi to the biceps. In Ursus americanus Shepherd (XX.) describes it as rising from the axillary border of the scapula as well as from the surface of the latissimus, and says that it receives slips from the panniculus and teres major. Macalister describes two muscles in fialictis larbara (IN.), but one of them is evidently a structure to which we shall have to call attention under the head of the triceps.

Rhomboids.-Lnstead of the rhomboideus major and minor of human anatomy we find in the Carnivora one plane of muscls. rising from the curred line of the occipital bone and the ligamentum nuchæ, the rhomboidens anterior, and another rising from the spines of the anterior thoracic vertebre, which it would be convenient to speak of as the rhomdoideus posterior. The insertion is into the vertebral border of the scapula. Occasionally the posterior edge of the $r$, anterior is continuons with the anterior edge of the posterior, but more often there is a distinct interval between them. It is usual for many writers to speak of only that part of the muscle which is attached to the skull as a rhomboideus
capitis; consequently when they state that this is absent the muscle may still rise from the whole length of the ligamentum nuchæ. Among the Felidæ the rhomboidens anterior is always present; this is the case in F. leo ( $1 a$ ), F. tigris (3), F. purdus (4), F. catus (6), and Cymelurus (9). The Viverridx are remarkable for the frequence with which the rhomboid sheet fails to reach the skull, due probably to the great distance which there is between the head and the shoulder-blades in many of these animals. In Cryptoprocta (10), Viverva civetta (12, 13, 14), Viverricula malaccensis (15), Genetta tigrina (16), Genetta vulyaris (18), and Paradoovurus typus (19) the rhomdoidens anterior never reached further forward than the level of the spine of the axis; another specimen of Paradoxurus (20) showed a fascial continuation of the muscle up to the occiput, while in Herpestes nepulensis (23) and Herpestes griseus (24) a definite occipital origin was noticed. Proteles (25) has no occipital origin for its rhomboids. Among the Hyænidx the occipital origin was found in II. striata by Meckel (27), but not by Young (26) or Cuvier (28) ; it is also wauting in H. crocuta (29).

In the Canidæ there is an occipital origin in Canis fumiliaris (31, 32, 33, 37, 39) and C. aureus (41).

Among the Ursidæ the rhomboideus anterior is well developed and comes from the skull in U. maritimus (45), in two specimens of $U$. americanus $(48,52)$, and in $U$. arctos (47). In two other specimens of $U$. americanus $(49,50)$ the occipital portion was absent.

Among the Procyonide the occipital origin is well marked and the whole muscle forms one continuous sheet in Procyon (53, 54, 55, 56, 57), Nusua (58, 60, XXXIX.), and Cercoleptes (61).

In the Mustelidæ the portion of the rhomboid which rises from the occiput is always present and usually is a separate slip (see fig. 5, p. 381) ; this is the case in Galuctis vittata (63), Galictis barbara (64), Mustela putorius (65), Mustela foina (66, 67), Ictony.x zorilla (69), Ictonyx lylica (70), Meles taxus (71, 72, 73), Lutra vulgaris (74, 79), and Lutra cinerea (78).

It is interesting to note that these Mustelidæ in which the gap between the occipital and cervical portions of the rhomboid sheet is so constant are equally remarkable for the constant presence of the rhomboideus profundus muscle. This muscle, as has already been stated, is fused with the rhomboid at its insertion, and by many authors is described as part of the rhomboid. We caunot help throwing out the suggestion, though we have no direct proof, that the gap in these animals between the occipital and nuchal portions of the muscle is caused by a sinking down of some of the tibres to acquire a new origin from the transverse process of the atlas, so that the rhomboideus profundus is a displaced portion of the rhomboid sheet.

To sum up, the rhomboid always seems to have an occipital origin in the Felida, Canidx, Procyonidæ, and Mustelidæ. In the Viverridæ and Hyænidæ the occipital origin is the exception.

In the Ursidæ it is more oiten present than not. In the Mustelidæ it is not only present but is quite a separate slip.

Subclavius.-This is a very small muscle rising from the inner end of the first rib and inserted into the spicular clavicle. We have only records of it in Viverra civetta (12) and Genetta $(16,18)$. It never seems to occur outside the Viverridæ, though from its insignificance it might easily be overlooked. The claviculo-scapularis, which is such a distinguishing feature of Hystricomorphine Rodents (XLI.), is never found in Carnivora.

Levator anguli scapulce and Serratus magnus.-These two muscles, as in Rodents, form one continnous plane rising from a large number of the posterior tubercles of the cervical transverse processes and from a large number of the anterior ribs (see fig. 6, p. 382). The latter origins interdigitate with the scalenus longus and the external oblique. Although the muscle forms one sheet it is well to emphasize the distinction between the two parts, since the levator anguli scapulæ is supplied by the cervical nerves and the serratus maguns by the posterior thoracic, or nerve of Bell. Meckel (XXXIX.) speaks of the levator anguli scapulæ as a separate muscle coming from the atlas in the Dog, Badger, Otter, and Marten. In the Dog we have no other records of any origin from the atlas and regard Meckel's specimen as a variation, but in the other three animals that which he describes as the levator anguli scapulæ is undoubtedly the rhomboideus profundus. The insertion of the combined muscles is into the dorsal or vertebral part of the subscapular fossa of the scapula, the attachment being much more strongly marked anteriorly than posteriorly.

The following table gives the exact origins in various animals :-

| Felis leo (1a) | $2-7 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{V}$. |  | 1-9 ribs. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , catus (6) | 3-7 | " |  |  |
| " (7) | 3-7 | " | 1-10 |  |
| Cyncelurus jubatus (9) | ? | , | 1-10 |  |
| Coryptonrocta ferox (10) | 3-5 | . | 1-8 |  |
| Viverra civetta (12) | 2-7 | $\stackrel{ }{\square}$ | 1-8 | " |
| , (13) | 4-7 | " | 1-7 | " |
| \% (14) | 3-7 | , | 1-8 |  |
| Genetta tigrina (16). | 4-7 | " | 1-9 | " |
| " ", (17) | 2-7 | " | 1-6 | " |
| ,, eulgaris (18) | 3-7 | . | 1-7 |  |
| Herpestes griseus (24) | 1-7 | , | 1-8 |  |
| Proteles cristatus (25) | 1-7 | " | 1-8 |  |
| Hycena striata (26) | 3-7 | " | 1-8 | " |
| " ", (27) | 2-7 | " | 1-8 | " |
| , (28) | 2-7 | " | 1-8 | " |
| ," crocrta (29) | 3-7 | " | 1-8 | " |
| Canis familiaris (31) | +7 | " | 1-7 | " |
| , (39) | ? | " | 1-7 |  |
| Ursus maritimus (45) | 3-7 | " | 1-10 |  |
| ,. americanus (50). | 2-7 | " | 1-10 |  |



From this list it would appear that in the Felidre and Ursidæ the costal attachment is most extensive, while in the Mustelidæ it is least so.

Deltoid. -This muscle consists of the usual three parts-clavicular, acromial, and spinous. The former blends with the rentral portion of the trapezius to form the cephalo-humeral or lerator hameri, and is inserted into the lower half of the humerus or the bones of the forearm.

In the Felidæ the insertion seems to be into the forearm, at least this is the case in $F$. leo $(1 a, 2)$ and $F$. catus (6). Anong the Viverridæ the insertion is also into the forearm in Cryptoprocta (10), V. civetta (13), Genetta (17, 18), and Herpestes (24). In Young's specimen of $V$. civetta (VIII.) the muscle was inserted into the lower end of the humerus. In Proteles (25) the insertion is also into the forearm. Among the Hyænidæ the muscle is inserted into the humerus in $H$. striata (26) and $H$. brunnea (30), into the forearm in H. striata (23). Among the Canidæ it goes to the humerus in Canis familiaris (31), to the forearm in Lycaon pictus (44). In the Ursidæ its insertion is into the humerus in U. maritimus (45) and U. americamus (49, 52). Among the Procyonidæ it goes to the humerus in $P$. lotor $(53,54)$ and Cercoleptes (61). In the Mustelidæ it is also usually inserted into the humerus; this is the case in Gatictis barbara (64), Mustela putorius (65), M. foina (6i), Ictonyx zorilla (69), Lutra vulyaris ( 74,79 ), and Lutra cinerea (78). In Ictonyx lybica (70) the insertion is into the lower end of the humerus as well as into the forearm bones.

From this it will be seen that in the Felidæ and Viverridæ the normal insertion of the first part of the deltoid or cephalo-humeral is into the forearm. In the Ursidæ, Procyonidæ, and Mustelidæ it is into the lower end of the humerus, while in the Canidæ and Hyænidæ it may go into either. The acromial and spinous parts of the deltoid are inserted into the middle of the humerus, the acromial lowest, the spinous just above and deep to it.

Suprat and Infru-spinatus.-Tlese muscles always rise from the dorsal parts of their respective fossa and are inserted into the great tuberosity of the humerus. The former muscle is usually the larger and considerably overlaps the cephalic border of the scapula (see fig. 8). In Herpestes griseus ( 24 ) some of its fibres are continued into the deep part of the pectoral. Macalister (IX.) found the supra-spinatus of Tiverra civette divided into two parts, prescapular and spinous, but this arrangement has not been recorded again.

Fig. 8.


Sulscapuluris.-The subscapularis usually consists of four bundles, the anterior (cephalic) two of which are bipenniform, while the posterior (caudal) two contain parallel fibres. As they near their insertion into the lesser tuberosity of the humerus the most anterior bundle becomes superficial to the second, and this, in its turn, superficial to the third. The fourth or most posterior bundle is very distinct and rises from the axillary border of the scapula ventral to and contimuous with the origin of the teres major, by whose nerve it is supplied. Haughton (XXI.) describes this part in the Black Bear as an infraspinatus secmudus, but says that it may belong to the subscapularis (see fig. 8).

Teres major.-The teres major rises from the axillary border of the scapula in its dorsal third; as has been pointed out, its origin is continued towards the glenoid cavity by the fourth bundle of the subscapularis. It is inserted into the anterior surface of the
latissimus dorsi tendon and occasionally, as in the case of one specimen of Ursus americanus (49), Vivervicula malaccensis (15), and Nasua (60), gives off the dorso-epitrochlearis.

Teres minor.--This muscle is sometimes very closely fased with the infraspinatus, sometimes fairly distinct. We are not inclined to lay any stress on this condition, since it varies in different specimens of the same animal; moreover, that which to one observer wonld be fairly distinct might be indistinct to another. Meckel (XXXIX.) says that the teres minor is absent as a distinct muscle in most Carnivora. In the following animals the muscle is described as distinct :-Felis leo (1), F. catus (6), Cryptoprocta (10), Viverra civetta (12, 13), Genetta (18), Hy/ana striata (26), Hy, crocuta (29), Canis familiaris (31, 39), Lycaon pictus (44), Ursus maritimus (45), Procyon lotor (53), Galictis burbara (64), Mustela putorius (65). In the following animals the teres minor was inseparable from the infraspinatus :-Proteles (25), Hyana striata (28), Ursus americanus (49), Procyon lotor (54), Cercoleptes (61), Lutra vulgaris (74), and Lutra cinerea (78). To these must be added the animals on which Meckel founded his generalization and probably many of those in which no mention is made of the muscle.

Biceps cubiti--In by far the greater number of Carnivora this is a single-headed muscle, the Ursidæ, as will be seen, forming a marked exception. When only one head is mentioned it is the one from the top of the glenoid carity which passes through the shoulder-joint. In the following animals the biceps had only one head :-Felis leo (1, 1a), F. tigris (3), F. catus ( $6,7,7$ a), F. caracal (8), Cyncelurus jubatus (9), Oryptoprocta (10,11), Viverra civetta (12, 13), Genetta (16, 16a, 17, 18), Pavadoxurus (19, 21), Herpestes (24), Proteles (25), Hyena striuta (26, 28), Hycena crocuta (29), Canis familiaris (31, 34, 35, 36, 37) (see fig. S, p. 390), Canis aureus (42), Iyccon pictus (44), Canis intpes (42), Ursus americanus (50), U. arctos ( 47 ) (on left side), Procyon lotor ( 54,55 ), P. cancrivorus (57), Nasua (58, 59, 60, XXXIX.), Galictis vittata (63), Mustela putorius (65), M. foina (66), Ictonyx (69, 70), Meles taxus (71, 72, 73), Lutra vulgaris ( 74,76 ), L. cinerea (78). In describing the biceps of the Civet both Macalister (IX.) and Young (VIII.) speak of the single head as rising from the coracoid process. We found the same arrangement in Herpestes (24), but were convinced that this head corresponds not to the short but to the long one of human anatomy ; our chief reason for this is that it passes through the shoulder-capsule and bicipital groove.

In the following animals a second head was found rising from the coracoid process with the coraco-brachialis : Paradorurus (20), Ursus maritimus (45, 46), Ursus arctos (47) (on right side), Ursus americanus (48, 49, 52), Procyon lotor (53) (rery feebly marked), Cercoleptes ( 61,62 ). The insertion is, in most cases, into the radius only, though in the Hyænidæ it is described as going to the radius and ulna. As a rule, there is very little insertion into fascia, thongh Shepherd describes a strong bicipital fascia in

Ursus americanus (XX.), which is interesting, because that animal has a two-headed biceps and also because in the specimen of Procyon lotor dissected by us (53) the small coracoid head could be separated by a little tearing from the rest of the mnscle and was found to end in the fascia of the forearm. So far as we are able to generalize from the material at our disposal, we should say that in the Carnivora a single-headed biceps inserted into the radius is the normal arrangement, but that the Ursidæ are characterized by a doubleheaded muscle, a condition that is approached by the nearly related Procyonidx, e. g. Procyon lotor (53) and Cercoleptes (61, 62).

Coraco-brachiultis.-This muscle usually rises by a small rounded tendon from the tip of the minute coracoid process; it soon becomes fleshy to be inserted into the humerus near its surgical neck, having passed above (over the cephalic border of) the latissimus dorsi tendon (see fig. 8, p. 390). This coraco-brachialis brevis or rotator humeri was the only part of the muscle seen in the following animals:-Feles leo (1, 1 r), F. tigris (3), F. parclus (5), F. catus (6), F. caracal (8), Cyncelurus jubatus (9), Cryptoprocta (10), Viverra civetta (12, 13, 14), Genetta (16), Paradoxums (21), Herpestes (24), Proteles (25), Hycena striata (26, 28), H. crocuta (29), Canis familiaris (31) (in four other dogs Macalister describes the coracobrachialis as present, but does not say which parts; he would probably have made a note had there been anything more than the rotator humeri), Canis aureus (41), Procyon lotor (53, 54, 55), P. cancrivorus (57), Nasua (5S, 59, 60, 60 a), Cercoleptes (61), Galictis vittata (63). In Straus-Durckheim's cat a delicate tendon rose with the rotator humeri and passed down ventral to the latissimus dorsi to be inserted into the lower third of the humerus just above the supra-condylar foranen (II.). In four specimens of Ursus americanus $(48,49,50,52)$ a coraco-brachialis longus was present in addition to the rotator humeri; in one of these shepherd (XX.) describes the long part of the muscle as being pierced by the musculo-cutaneous nerve, while, in another, Testut (XXIII.) mentions that the brevis was double. In a fifth specimen of the same animal Haughton (XXI.) describes the rotator humeri and then speaks of a coraco-brachialis accessorius, "which," he says, "is like the gemelli"; whatever may be the exact meaning of his description, it is evident that more than one part of the muscle was present in his case. Both Uisus maritimus (45) and U. arctos (47) resemble the rest of the Bears in having both the brevis and the longus. The Procyonidæ, as has been shown, usually have the normal carnivorous arrangement, but Perrin's specimen of Cercoleptes (62) differed from our own in having the bear-like double muscle. Among the Mustelidæ, Galictis barbara (64) and Mustela foina (67) are bear-like. In four specimens of Lutra vulgaris ( $74,75,76,77$ ) the muscle was eutirely absent, while in a fifth described by Haughton (XXXIV.) no mention is made of it, though all the surrounding muscles are spoken of. In Lutra cinerea Macalister (XXXVII.) describes the brevis as being present on the left side but absent on the right. In two specimens of Ictonyx
$(69,70)$ the muscle was also absent, as was the case in Mustela putorius. In Meles $(71,72)$ the coraco-brachialis is a single muscle and is inserted into the middle third of the humerus, ventral to the insertion of the latissimus dorsi. Unfortunately no mention is made of the relation of the muscle to the musculo-cutaneous nerve, but it looks very much like the only instance of a coracobrachialis medius which we have met with in Carmivora.

Brachialis anticus.-In its typical arrangement this muscle seems to sonsist of two parts: (1) a long head rising from the back of the surgical neck of the humerus and winding round the outer side of that bone to reach the frout, forming in its passage the musculo-spiral groove; (2) a short head rising from the anterior border of the lower half of the humerus. These two heads are inserted together into the coronoid process of the ulna. In the Carnivora the long head is always present and is most constant in its attachments, while the short head we have not met with at all. We believe that the proper nerve-supply of the long head is the musculo-spiral and that of the short head the musculo-cutaneous: in two or three Carnivora, in which we have carefully looked for this point, we have only found a branch from the musculo-spiral, but further observation is necessary before a definite statement can be made.

Triceps.-This muscle shows a good deal of variation in the number of bundles into which the humeral portion is divided, though we are not inclined to regard these divisions as of any classificatory importance ; as an example of this we may quote the case of the Racoon, of which we have three records. In the first of these (53) we were able with great ease to distinguish five heads, the external of human anatomy being double, while the part of the internal head which rose from the bridge of bone over the supracondylar foramen was separate. On comparing this with Allen's description (XXVI.) we find only four heads mentioned, the one from the supracondylar bridge not being distinct. In Prooyon cancrivorus (57) only the three heads described in Man were noticed. The middle or long head, with one exception, is quite constant and usually rises from the glenoid half of the axillary border of the scapula. Among the Felidæ there are altogether five heads in $F$. catus (6). In the Viverridæ, Cryptoprocta is described as having four leads by Beddard (YII.), but in our own specimen we noticed an extra scapular head rising from the dorsal part of the scapular spine and from the fascia over the infraspinatus. In Viverra civetta (13) and Herpestes (24) four heads were seen, in two specimens of Genettce five (16) and four (18) respectively. In Proteles (25) Watson found five heads, one coming from the spine of the scapnla and probably corresponding to the spinons head in Cryptoprocta. Among the Hyænidæ only three heads were seen in $H$. striata (26), but in H. crocuta (20) there were four, the external head being double. Among the Canida, we found five lieads in C. familiaris (31), the long head being double (see fig. 8, p. 390) ; the same arrangement is figured by Cuvier and
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Laurillard (XIX.). In the Urside there are three heads in $U$. maritimus (45), four in U. americanus (49). Among the Procyonidx, Procyon has already been alluded to. Cercoleptes (61) has four heads. The Mustelidæ are remarkable for having an extra head, rising from the angle of the seapula and joining the rest of the muscle in the lower part of the arm, to be inserted into the inner side of the olecranon. This head was noticed in Galictis vittata (63), G. burbara ${ }^{1}$ (64), Mrusteln putorius (65), M. foina (66), Ictonyx lybicr ( 70 ), Meles taxus ( $7 \%$ ), and Lutra velgaris (74, 75, 76). The insertion of the triceps is into the upper internal and external surfaces of the olecranon process.

Anconeus.-This muscle is large and triangular and is always closely connected with the lower part of the triceps: its base rises from the back of the external condyle and supracondylar ridge, while the truncated apex is insertel into the outer side of the olecranon process. The muscle is evidently present in all the Carnivora, and only varies in size and in the degree of its fusion with the triceps.

Epitrochleo-anconeus (Anconeus internus).-The epitrochleoanconeus is a most constant muscle in Carnivora. It is described by Gruber in a good many animals besides those in our list. It is a round muscle which passes from the back of the internal condyle to the inner side of the olecranon, lying superficial to the ulnar nerve by which it is supplied.

Pronator radii teres.-This muscle rises from in front of, and just above, the internal condyle and passes obliquely to the side of the radius farthest from the ulna. There is never any sign of a deep head. The position of the insertion of the muscle seems to he of some interest from a systematic point of view. In the Felidæ it is inserted about the middle of the rarlius in $F$. leo ( $1 a$ ), $r$. tigris (3), and $F$. catus (6). In Cyncelurus, Ross (IV.) describes it as reaching to within 2 inches of the lower end, and from its insertion a small tendinous prolongation extends to the palmar fascia. In the Yiverridæ the insertion is rather variable; in Cryptoprocta (10), Viverra civetta (12), and Hemigalea (XI.) it goes to the lower half, while in Viverra civetta (13), Genetta (17, 18), and Herpestes (24) it goes to the middle. In Proteles (25) it is very small and is inserted about the middle. Among the Hyænidx, Hyana striate (26, 27, 28) and $H$. crocuta (29) closely resemble Proteles. In the Canidæ the muscle is small and is usually inserted above the middle of the radius; this is the case in Canis fumiliaris (31, 39), C. uureus ( 41 ), and C. vulpes (42), while in Lycaon pictus (44) it goes to the middle. In the Ursida we have records of three specimens of Ursus americanus $(48,49,52)$ and one of $U$. arctos ( 47 ); in all of these the insertion was into the lower end of the radius. Among the Procyonidæ it went to the middle of the radius in three specimens of Procyon $(53,54,57)$, but Meckel describes it as going to the lower end of the bone in that animal (XXXIX.).

In Nasua ( $55,60,60 a$ ) and Cercoleptes (61) it also goes to the lower end. In the Mustelidæ the usual insertion is into the lower end of the bone ; at least this is the case in Galictis barbaril (64), Ictony.x zorilla (69), I. libyca (70), Meles taxus (72), and Lutra vulyaris $(7,75,76)$ : on the other hand, it is attached to the middie in Mustela putorius (65), M. foina (66), and Lutrou cinerea (78).

It will thus be seen that in the Felidæ, Viverridæ, Hyænidæ, and Canide the insertion of the pronator radii teres is usually into the middle of the radius or, in the Canidæ, above that point, while in the Ursidæ, Procyonidæ, and Mnstelidæ its insertion is more olten into the lower end.

Frexor carpi radialis.-This muscle, as usual in Mammals, is very constant; it rises from the internal condyle and is inserted into the base of the second metacarpal bone. The following are the only variations with which we have met in its attachments. In Cyneturus (9) Ross (IV.) describes slips to the styloid process of the radius and to the trapezium. In Uisus americamus (50), Testut (XXIII.) found it ending in the scapholnnar. In Ursus arctos (47), Meckel (XXXLX.) notices its insertion into the lst and 2nd metacarpal bones.

Palmaris longus.-The palmaris longus in Carnivora is sometimes double; when this happens we shall speak of an externus and internus. The former is the more constant and is closely connected with the flexor sublimis digitorum at its origin ; it spreads out in the palin to form the palmar fascia, and often has definite tendous running to the vaginal sheaths of the proximal phalanges. The palnaris longus internus appears to be a delamination from the flexor carpi ulnaris, and ends in the fascia over the pisiform bone.

Among the Felidæ the externus alone is present. In Felis tigris (3) it ends in five delinite tendons, one for each digit. In $F$. len (1) and F. pardus (4) Macalister describes a palmaris accessorius which rises from the main tendon a little above the wrist and is inserted into the pads over the 4th and 5th digits; we find a similar muscle figured in Cuvier and Laurillard's plate of the lion's manus (V.), and in our opinion it is the same thing that we describe later under the name of flexor brevis digitorum manûs.

Among the Tiverridæ the externus and internus were present in Cryptoproctu (10), Genetta (18) (see fig. 9, p. 396), and Viverice civetta (12). In Herpestes (24) (see fig. 10, p. 404), V. civetta (14). and Parculoxurus (21) the externus alone was present, while in $V$. civetta (13) and Genetta (17) the internus was the only part found.
In Proteles (25) the externus is distinct from the flexor sublimis digitorum, and there is no internus.
Among the Hyænidæ the arrangement is the same in Hycena striata (26,28) and H. crocuta (29), but in Meckel's specimen of the former animal (27) the muscle was closely blended with the flexor sublimis digitorum.

In the Canidæ we have records of seven specimens of Canis familiaris; in four of these the muscle is absent altogether ( 31 ,
$35,36, \mathrm{XLTV}$. ), in one (34) a trace was found, while in the remaining two $(37,39)$ the externus was fairly well developed. In C. aureus (41) it was abseut, but was found in C. lagopus (42). Among the Urside we have records of five specimens : in three of these $(46,51,52)$ the external muscle was present, though closely connected with the flexor suhlimis; in two $(48,49)$ it was absent altogether. In the Procyonidæ there are four records of Procyon, in three of which $(53,54,57)$ both the externus and internus were present ; in the other (55) only the externus was found. In Nasua (60) the palmaris longus is large, but there is apparently no

Fig. 9.


Manus of Genetta vulgaris, showing double palmaris longus and fl. br. dig. manûs.
internus. In two specimens of Cercoleptes $(61,62)$ both exteruus and internus were present, and it was noticed that the latter was in close counection with the flexor carpi ulnaris and was supplied by the ulnar nerve. Among the Mustelidæ the internus alone is present in Galictis barbara (64), while in Mustela putorius (65), M. foina (66), Ictonypa zorilla (69), I. libyca (70), and four specimens of Lutra vulyaris ( $74,75,76,79$ ) a large externus alone was found. In Lutra cinerea (78) both externus and internus were present, the latter being regarded by Macalister as part of the flexor carpi ulnaris. The summing up of the above results is as follows :The Felidæ and Hyænidæ always have a palmaris longus externus only. The Mustelidæ usually have the same arrangement. The Tiverridæ are very variable. In the Canidæ the palmaris longus
is usually absent altogether. In the Urside it is often so. In the Procyonidæ both p. l. externus and interuus are usually present.

Fiexor sublimis diyitorum.-In looking through the literature of carnivorons myology one finds this musele sometimes described as rising from the internal condyle and giving slips to the flexor profundus, at other times as coming off from the surface of the profundus itself. The method of description seems to depend chiefly on whether the flexor sublimis exceeds in size the condylar origins of the profundus or vice versac. The description which seems to us most applicable to the whole order is that the sublinis rises in commou with the condylo-ulnaris head of the profundus from the internal condyle. The insertion is, as usual, into the middle phalanges of a variable number of digits: before its attachment a loop passes round the subjacent profundus tendon in exactly the same way that has been already noticed in Rodents (XLI. p. 266); the sublimis tendon then splits and allows the profundus to pass through it. The number of digits into which the tendons of the sublimis are inserted varies a good deal, and seems to bear no relation to the position of the animals in the order. By far the commonest arrangement is to find the tendons inserted into the second, third, and fourth digits; this occurs in the following animals :-Viverra civetta (12), Cryptoprocta (11), Genetta (17), Herpestes (24), Proteles (25), Hyrena striatu (27), H. crocutic (29), Canis familiaris (39), Procyon lotor (53, 55), P. cancrivorus (57), Nasual (60), Cercoleptes (61), Mustela putorius (65), M. foina (66), Ictonyx zorilla (69), I. libyca (70), and Lutra cinerea (78). In the following animals tendons go to the first, second, third, and fourth digits, the poliex being counted as the first; Ursus americamus (48), Procyon lotor (54), aud N'tsua (58). In Uisus americanus (49) and Felis catus (6) slips are given to all five digits. In Lutra ( 76 ), Genetta ( 16 , and Canis (31) there were tendons to all the digits except the thumb. In Cryptoproctu (10), Lirsus maritimus (45), Meles (72), and Lutra (74) the muscle only gave off two tendons to the third and fuurth digits respectively. In Hycena striata (26) tendons passed to the third, fourth, and fifth digits.

Flexor carpi ulnaris.-This mascle consists of two parts, condylo-pisiform and olecrano-pisiform, the former rising from the internal condyle, the latter from the olecranon process. In certain cases these two heads are quite distinct from their origin to their insertion, but more usually they unite in the forearm, leaving a gap for the ulnar nerve to pass between them as in Man. Laurillard (XXII.) has suggested that possibly the double arrangement is characteristie of young animals, the single of older ones, but we have not come across any facts which bear out this theory. Among the Felidæ the two parts join in the upper part of the forearm in Felis catus (6), while in F. loo (1) (æt. 8 years) and $F$. tigris (3) they unite iu the lower quarter. In the Viverrida the two parts remained distinct until their insertion in Macalister's and Devis's specimens of Viverra civetta (13, 14), in C'ryptop: octa
(10), and Genetta (17), but they fused high up in the forearm in Viverra civetta (12), in Genetta (18), Herpestes (24), and Proteles (25). Anong the Hvæuidæ the head from the olecranon was absent in H. striata (27) and H. crocuta (29), but in II. striata (26) both heads were present and joined high up. Among the Canidr we have records of the dissection of this muscle in six specimens of C. fumiliaris (31, 32, 34, 36, 37, 39), in one of C. cureus (41), in C. lagopus (42), and in Iycuon pictus (44). In all these nine animals the two parts were distinct. Among the Ursidx we unfortunately bave recolds of only two specimens $(49,52)$, but in both of these the muscle was double. In the Procronide the two heads are distinct in Procyon lotor according to Allen (54), but united in our experience (53). In Nasua (60) and Cercoleptes (61) they are fused. Among the Mustelidx the two parts unite high up in Mustela putorius (65) and Ictony.r (70). In Lutra vulgaris (76) ther join about the iniddle of the forearm, while in $L$. cinerea ( $T S$ ) and Meles $(71,72)$ they remain distinct. The insertion of both parts is usually into the pisiform bone, but in $H$. striata (26) expansions are continued to the four metacarpals, while in Testut's specimen of Ursus americams (50) the tendon passed the pisiform and was inserted into the fifth metacarpal. Both bellies of the flexor carpi ulnaris are supplied by the ulnar nerve.

Flearor mofundus digitorum.-In a former paper (NLV.) one of the authors has described this muscle as consisting of five primary parts, condylo-radialis, condylo-ulnaris, centralis, radialis, and uharis; so that when the typical arrangement is present there are three origins from the internal condyle as well as one each from the radius and ulna. It is difficult to be quite sure in all cases which of these parts were really present, since different authors have adopted different methods of description, but in most instances the arrangement is evident enough. Among the Felida all the heads are present in $F$. catus ( $6, \mathrm{NLV}$.). In the Viverrida all five origins are present in Crimptoprocta (10), Genetta (16, $16 a$, 18). Paradoxurus (19, 20), Tiverricula malarernsis (15), and Cynictis penicillata ( XLV .), while in Herpestes nepalensis (23), H. griseus $(24)$, and Viverre civetta (13) the centralis was absent. In all these animals there is a slip to the pollex as well as to the other four digits. In the Hyænidæ it is not possible to say which elements of the muscle were present. In Huana striata (26) Young found no slip to the pollex, though Meckel describes a small one in his specimen of the same animal (27) : in H. croruta (29) the pollex slip was also absent. It is interesting to notice that, so far as the pollex tendon goes, Proteles (25) agrees with the Viverridæ rather than with the Hyænidæ. Among the Canidæ, Windle found all the heads present and distinct in the dog (XLV.). In Cuvier and Laurillard's dog (39) the centralis is apparently wanting. In another dog which we dissected (31) the condylar heads were fused into one broad origin from the internal condyle, though we are inclined to think that the centralis wals not combined with
this. Krause ${ }^{1}$ also does not notice the centralis in the doy. Among the Ursidx, Windle notices the absence of the condyloulnaris in Ursus americanus (48), while in two orher specimens of the same animal $(49,5 \ddot{2})$ only one coudylar origin is described. In the Procyonidx all five heads were found in Piocyon lotor (3̈), $P$. cancrivorus (57), Nasua rufa (XLV.), and Cercoleptes (61). In Perrin's specimen of Ceroleptes ( 62 ) the centralis was not noticed. In the Mustelidx all five heads were present in Mustela putorius (XLV.), Ictonyx zorilla (69), Meles (XLV.), Lutra vulyaris ( XLV ., 74), and L. cinerea (78), while in Galictis vittatia (63) and, apparently, M. putorius (65) the centralis was absent ${ }^{2}$.

From the foregoing detilils it will be seen that in the Carnivora it is usual to find all five origins of the flexor profundus digitorum, that the condylo-centralis is the part most frequently missing, and, after that, the condylo-ulnaris. The two specimens of Hyaendae were the only animals in which the muscle did not send slips to all five digits.

Lumbricales.-As a rule there are four of these museles, but when there are less it seems that the one on the radial side disappears first and the ulnar one next. In the following animals four muscles were found:-Felis catus (6), Vivcria civetta (12), Genetta (16), Herpestes (24), Hycena striata (27), H. crocuta (29), Ursus maritimus (45), U. americanus (49), Procyon lotor (53), Nasua (60), Cercoleptes (61), Meles (71, 72), and Lutia (76). In the following there were three lumbricales:- V. civetta (13) (ulnar one absent), Proteles. (25), Canis familitris (31) (radial absent), Mustela putorius (65) (radial absent), Lutra vulyaris (79) (radial absent), and L. cinerea (78) (radial absent). In Hycenct striata (26) and Lctony.x libyca (70) only the two middle lumbricales were preseut.

Pronator quadratus.-This muscle is always present in the Carnivora and is usually of considerable thickness when cut through. The part nearest the carpus is always the thickest, strongest, and most persistent. The muscle may extend for the whole length of the bones or may only occupe a fraction of them at their carpal ends. Among the Felidæ the pronator quadratus almost always occopies the lower half of the forearm ; this is the case in Felis leo (1), $F$. tigris (3, XXIII.), F. paiclus (4), and $F_{\text {. catus (6). In Cyncelurus (9), however, it seems to occupy }}$ rather more than half. In the Viverridæ the muscle is rery variable. In Cryptoprocta (10) it is attached to the lower $\frac{2}{3}$. In Herpestes nepalensis (23), H. griseus (24), one specimen of Paradoxurus (19), and Viverricula malaccensis (15) it is present in the lower half; in Genetta (16), Viverra civetta (12), and the second specimen of Paraloxurus (20) in the lower third; in $V$. civetta (13) in the lower quarter. In Hemigalea Mivart (XI.) describes

[^4]it as being very large, and says that it is inserted into the platelike process of the radius. In Proteles ( 25 ) it resembles the same muscle in the Hyienidae and is attached to the whole length of the bones. Among the Hyænidæ it occupies the whole length of the forearm in Hycma striata $(26,27)$ and $I I$. crocuta (29). In the Canide all writers agree in saying that the pronator quadratus of the dog occupies the whole length of the forearm, and we have verified the statement ( 31 ). The same arrangement is found in Canis aureus (41), C. vulpes (42, XXIII.), C. bupus (XXIII.), and Lycuon pictus (44). Among the Ursidre the muscle only occupies the lower third of the forearm in Ursus arctos (47) and $U$. americanus (48, 49, 50, 51). In the Procyonida it was found in the lower two-thirds in Procyon lotor (53) and $P$. cancrivorus (57), but Allen (XXVI.) deseribes it as occupring half the forearm in the former animal (54). In Nasua (5S, 60 a) and Cerculeptes (61) it was only present in the lower third, thongh Perrin (XXIX.) describes it as taking up the lower twothirds in the latter. Among the Mustelidæ the muscle occupies the whole forearm in Mustela putorius (65) : the lower half in Galictis vittata (63), Lutict cinerea (78), and L. vulgaris (74); the lower third in Mcles (71) and Ictomy. (70). From the foregoing it will re seen that in the Felidæ the pronator quadratus is attached to the lower half of the ulna and radins, in the Canidæ and Hyænidæ to the whole length, in the Ursidæ to the lower third, while in the Viverridæ, Procyonidæ, and Mustelidæ it is variable.

Supinator longus.-This muscle rises from the external supiacondylar ridge of the humerus for a variable extent, in some cases reaching as high up as the surgical neek; it is inserted into the radial side of the lower end of the radius. Among the Felidæ it is present in Felis leo (1), F. tigris (3), F. pardus (4, XXXIX.), $F$. catus (domestic) ( $6,7,7 u$ ), $F$. catus (wild) (XXXIX.), but in Cumulurus (9) it was not found. In the Viverridæ it was fonnd in Cryptoprocta (10), Viverra civetta (12, 13), V. malaccensis (15), Genctat tiafrina (16), where it sends an expansion to the dorsal carpal ligaments, G. tigrina (17), Parulorurus typus (19, 20), Herpestes mepalensis (23), and H. griseus (24). In Hemigalea (XI.) it is also present and is attached to the plate-like process of the radius. In Protcles (25) it is absent. Among the Hyænidæ it is absent in $H$. striata $(26,27,28)$, and is a mere restige in $H$. crocuta (29). With regard to the Canidæ we have had some little difficulty in following the accounts of other writers. The muscle is certainly absent in the following four dogs: $31,34,36,38$. In one specimen (37) it was absent on the left, rudimentary on the right. In another specimen (35) it only weighed 1.07 grs ., and must therefore have been very feebly marked.

In a dog described by Haughton (32) the mnscle is said to be present, but he states that there is only one extensor carpi radialis, which is inserted into the little and ring fingers; in this case we camot help suspecting that the muscle described by him as supinator longus was really the other extensor carpi radialis. In Lyecoon
pictus (44) Pagenstecher says that the " supinator brevis is absent, as in the cat aud dog"; in this instance supinator longus, not brevis, must surely have been meant. There can, we think, be little doubt that in the Canidæ the muscle is usually absent. In the Ursidæ the supinator lougus is very constantly present; it is so in four specimens of Ursus americanus ( $48,49,51,52$ ), and one of UT. maritimus (45), of which we have records. In the Procyonidæ it is present in three specimens of Procyon lotor (53, 54, 55), in Nasua (60), and Cercoleptes (61). Among the Mustelidæ it is present in Galictis vittata (63), G. barbara (64), Mustela putorius (65), M. foina (56). Ictonyx libycu (70), and Meles taxus (71,72). In four specimens of Lutra vulgaris the muscle was found to rise from the humerus as far up as the neck ( $74,75,76,77$ ); it was also present in $L$. cinerea ( 7 S ).

To sum up, the supinator longus is present in the Felidx with the exception of Cyncelurus, the Viverridæ, the Ursidæ, the Procyonidx, and the Mustelidx. It is usually absent in the Hyænidæ with which Proteles agrees, and in the Canidæ.

Eatensor carpi radiulis longion and brevior.--The point on which the greatest stress is laid in descriptions of these muscles is as to whether they are completely separate or more or less blended at their origin. We are not inclined to pay much attention to this distinction, since it has frequently been our lot to find muscles, apparently inseparable, separate easily with a little traction. The origins of the muscles are the same as those described in human anatomy, the longior rising from the supracondylar ridge, the brevior from the condyle. The insertions are respectively into the shafts of the metatarsal bones of the second and third digits. The following are the only variations with which we have met:In Hyena stricta $(26,27)$ the two tendons are united by a transverse band about the level of the wrist. In Lutra cinerea the extensor carpi radialis longior divides, and one part is inserted on each side of the base of the second metacarpal, the inner one being connected by a transverse slip with the tendon of the extensor carpi radialis brevior.

Extensor communis digitorum.-This muscle rises from the back of the external condyle, and is inserted into the middle and distal phalanges of the second, third, fourth, and fifth digits; on the dorsum of the hand the tendons are connected by broad, thin vincula. This insertion is most constant in all the Carnivora, the only exceptions with which we have met being a dog (32) dissected by Haughton, where the tendons are described as going chielly to the fourth and fifth digits, and our own specimen of Herpestes (24), where the slip to the fifth digit was wanting.

Extensor minimi digiti.-This muscle rises from the external condyle and, in the dorsum of the hand, usually divides into three tendons which pass to the medius, annularis, and minimus, uniting on the backs of the digits with the expansions of the extensor communis. In the following animals tendons to these three digits were present:-Felis tigris (3), F. cutus (6), Cryptoprocta (10, 11), Viverra
civetta (12, 13), Genetta (16), Herpestes ( 22,24 ), Canis fumiliuris (31, 38, 39), Ursus arctos (47), U. americanus (48, 49, 51, 52), U. maritimus (45), Procyon lotor (53), P. cancrivorus (57), Nasua (60, 60 a), Cercoleptes (61), Galictis vittata (63), Mustela putorius (65), M. foina (66, 67), Meles (71, 72, 73), and Lutra ( $74,75,76$, $77,78,79$ ). In the following animals tendons were only supplied to the annularis and minimus:-Felis leo (1), in which the extensor minimi and the extensor quarti digiti are separate muscles and lie in distinct sheaths, Genettia (17), Herpestes (23), Proteles (25), Hycena striata (26), H. crocuta (29), and Canis aureus (41). In Ictomyx libyca ( 70 ) two tendons were found, but these went to the medius and annularis. In Cyncelurus (9) Ross describes only one tendon to the second phalanx of the fifth digit, but he says that there is also an "extensor minimi digiti tertii" rising from the upper fifth of the radius and passing through a separate sheath of the annular ligament to the 1st phalanx of the minimus (IV.).

Eatensor carpi ulnaris.-This muscle has the human attachments and is extremely constant. The only point of interest which we have come across is that Meckel (XXXIX.) describes it as double in the White Bear ; it must be borne in mind, however, that in the Bears the flexor carpi ulnaris is donble, so that there may be some confusion between the two muscles.

Supinator brevis.-This consists, as in most manmals below the Primates, of one layer, which lies superficial to the posterior interosseous nerve; it rises from the orbicular and external lateral ligament, and in some cases reaches as high as the external condyle. It is inserted into the outer side of the radius, reaching a greater distance in some animals than in others. In the Felide our records of this muscle are very scanty, but it seems usually to occupy the upper third of the forearm. In the Viverridæ it occupies the upper third in Cryptoprocta (10) and Genetta (18) : in Viverra civetta $(12,13)$ it is merely described as well marked, while in Herpestes $(22,24)$ it was found in the upper two-thirds of the forearm. In the Hyænide it seems to be only slightly developed (26, 28, 29), and Watson (XIII.) does not mention it at all in his description of Proteles. In the Canidæ, Meckel (XXXIX.) describes it as occupying the upper half of the forearm, but in other specimens $(31,39)$ it did not extend so far down. The Ursidæ are remarkable for the great development of the supinator brevis; in Ursus maritimus (45) it reaches to within $1 \frac{1}{2}$ inches of the lower end of the radius, while in $U$. americanus $(48,49,52)$ it occupies the upper two-thirds to three-quarters. Among the Procyonidx it covered the upper half of the radius in Procyon lotor (53, 56) and Nasua (60), the upper third in $P$. lotor (54) and Cercoleptes (61). Allen (XXVI.) describes it as being pierced by the posterior muscular branch of the musculo-spiral nerve (posterior interosseous?), an arrangement which was not present in our specimen of Procyon and which we have wever seen in any other Carnivore. In the Mnstelidæ the length of the mnscle varies. Macalister describes it as well marked in Galictis barburu
(64), while in Mustela putorius (65) and Lutra (74, 77, 78) it reaches as low as the third quarter. In Meles (72, 73), however, it only goes as far as the middle of the radius.

Extensor ossis metacarpi pollicis.-This muscle is always present in Carnivora and is constant in its attachments: it rises from the dorsal surfaces of the radius and ulna, especially the latter, and is inserted into the base of the metacarpal bone of the pollex and often into the radial sesamoid bone near it. In Procyon lutor (53) and Galictis barbara (64) slips were given to the trapezium. In the former animal and in Fiveria civetta (12) the highest fibres rose as far up as the olecranon.

Extensor digitorum profurtus.-Instead of describing the extensor secundi internodii pollicis and extensor indicis as two separate muscles, we have found it more convenient to follow the example set in Bronn's 'Thierreich ${ }^{1}$ and to speak of them under the above heading. We do not propose to include the extensor ossis metacarpi pollicis with the other two, as it is not an extensor of a digit but of a metacarpal bone. The extensor primi interuodii we have never seen in the Carnivora. The crigin of the extensor profundus is from the dorsal surface of the ulna, below that of the extensor ossis metacarpi pollicis, as well as, sometimes, from the dorsal surface of the radius. In the Felidæ the muscle goes to the pollex and index as a rule; this is the case in Felis leo (1), F. tigris (3), F. pardus (4), and F. catus (6). In Cyncelurus (9), however, no slip goes to the index. Among the Viverride the insertion is rery constant and is the same as it is in most of the Felidæ: Cryptoprocta (10), Viverra civetta (12, 13), Viverricula malaccensis (15), Genetta (16), Paradoxurus (19, 20), and Herpestes (24). In Proteles (25) it is inserted into the third digit only. Among the Hranidæ there is no tendon for the aborted pollex; in Hycena striata the muscle went to the third digit only in Meckel's specimen (27), to the second and third in Cuvier and Laurillard's (28), and to the second, third, and fourth in Young's (26). In H.crocuta it went to the second only. The Canidre resemble the Hyænidæ in the feebleness of the slip to the pollex. Out of three specimens of Camis familiaris it went to the first and second in one (37); to the second, with a very feeble slip to the pollex, in another (31); and to the second digit only in a third (39). In C. aureus (41) Macalister found an extensor secundi internodii, bnt no extensor indicis, while in C. layopus (42) a very feeble extensor indicis alone was present. Among the Ursidæ, Windle and Shepherd found no index slip in Ursus americanus (48, 49), but in Cuvier and Laurillard's specimeu (52) it went to the pollex and index. In U. arctos (47) and $U$. maritimus (45) it bad the same insertion. Among the Procyonide the muscle is more constant; it goes to the first and second digits in Procyon lotor (53), P. cancrivorus (57), Nasua (60, 60 a) (in the former (60) the two muscles were quite distinct as far as their origiii), and in Cercoleptes (61). In two other specimens of $P$. lutor $(54,56)$ the insertion was into the pollex, index, and ${ }^{1}$ Sechster Fand, V. Abtheilung, 37-39 Lieferung, p. S16.
medius. Among the Mustelida the muscle is very constant, being inserted into the first and second digits in Galictis vittate ( 63 ), Mustela putorius (65), 1. foina (66), 1ctonyw zorilla (69), I. libyca (70), Meles (71), Lutra vulyaris (74, 76), and L. cinerea (78). In Haughton's specimen of $L$. vulgairs (79) the insertion was into the second and third digits.

## Muscles of the Mand.

Flexor brevis digitonm mamis. - When this muscle is present in the Carnivora it rises from the annular ligament, pisiform bone, and palmar fascia, and occasionally from the lower part of the palmaris longus tendon. It is inserted into the vaginal sheath of the minimns, or, if it is more fully developed, forms the flexor perforatus of that digit. Among the Felidæ the muscle was

Fig. 10.


Manus of Herpestes griseus, showing single fl. br. dig. manûs.
present in F. leo (1 a), and apparently in Macalister's specimens of Felis leo (1) and F. parclus (4), though in these it went to the fourth and fifth digits. In the Viverridæ it was present and formed the flexor perforatus tendon to the minimus in Viverra civetta (12), Herpestes (24) (see fig. 10), and Genetta (17). In V. civetta (14) and Genetta (18) it ended in the vaginal sheath, aud, in the latter animal, went to the fourth and fifth digits (see fig. 9, p. 396). Among the Hyænidæ it was present in Hycena crocuta (29), but not in H. striata $(26,28)$. We have no records of it among the Canidæ or Ursidæ, and it was certainly absent in our specimen of Canis familiaris (31). In the Procyonidæ it seems rery constant ; it is present in Procyon lotor.
( $53,54,55$ ) and P. cancrivorus (57). In Nasua (60) it is figured by Cuvier and Laurillard, and, in all these animals, seems to end in the sheaths of the tendons. In Cercoleptes (61,62) it forms the flexor perforatus of the little finger. In the Mustelidæ it seems to be usually absent, or at all erents very feebly marked; the only record we have of it in this family is in Mustela putorius.

Palmaris brevis.-The palmaris brevis is apparently never present in Carnivora.

Thenar Muscles.-This group consists of the abductor, flexor brevis, and opponens of human anatomy. Among the Felidæ the only record we hare is of the Cat (6), in which the abductor rises from the trapezium and annular ligament; the usual doubleheaded flexor brevis is present, and the opponens very small. From our experience of the rest of the muscles of the Felidæ we presunie that the other animals of the family closely resemble the Cat in their thenar muscles. In the Viverridæ, we found a weak abductor and a well-marked flexor brevis in Ceryptoprocta (10). In Viverra civetta (12) and Vivervicula malaccensis (15) the same muscles were found, though Devis (X.) describes an opponens in addition in Viverra ciretta (14). In Paradoxurus typus (19, 20) and Herpestes griseus (2t) the abductor and flexor brevis alone were found.

In Proteles and the Hyænidæ there were no thumb-muscles. Among the Canidæ we only found two muscles of the thumb in Canis familiaris (31), one going to the inner, the other to the onter sesamoid bone (see fig. 11, p. 406). It is difficult to determine whether these should be looked upon as two heads of a flexor brevis or as an abductor and adductor. The muscles were small and the nerve-supply somewhat difficult to be quite certain of, but we are of opinion that the radial muscle was supplied by the median, and the ulnar by the ulnar nerve. We are further led to regard the latter muscle as an adductor pollicis by the fact that Cunningham (XLVI.) describes an adductor in the Dingo. The radial of the two muscles rises from the trapezium and is therefore probably an abductor, so that in the Dog a slender abductor pollicis is present, but no opponens or flexor brevis. Anong the Ursidæ, Kelley (XXIV.) only mentions a flexor brevis pollicis in Ursus maritimus (45). Meckel (XXXIX.) describes the thenar muscles as very strong in U.arctos (47), the abductor in his specimen had two origins, from the trapezium and base of the first metacarpal; there was in addition a flexor brevis. In U. americanus (49) there were also abductor, flexor brevis, and opponens. Among the Procyonidæ, P. lotor (53, 56) has an abductor and flexor brevis, while $P$. cancrivorus (57) has a small opponens besides. Cercoleptes $(61,62)$ has an abductor, flexor brevis, and small opponens. Among the Mustelidæ, Alix (XXX.) describes a superficial and deep abductor pollicis in M. putorius (65) ; probably these correspond to our abductor and flexor brevis. In M. foina (66) the same two muscles exist, as they do also in Letonyx ( 69,70 ) and Lutia vulgaris ( 74 ). In Lutira cinerea (78) a small opponens was found by Macalister.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Bathyergus the sterno-facialis and sphincter colli are coexistent (XLII.).

[^1]:    1 In $F$. catus (7) and $F$, len ( $1 a$ ) we cannot satisfy ourselves whether a fusion

[^2]:    : Windle has used "levator clavicule" for the cleido-mastoid.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ The development of the clavicle seems to vary a good deal individually; we found it well marked in Lutra vulgaris, but Macalister did not notice it in Lutra cinciea. Perrin (XXIX.) found it in Cercoleptes, but in our specimen there was no trace. Possibly age is the explanation.

[^4]:    1 'Anat. des Kaninchens.'
    ${ }^{2}$ It should, however, be borne in mind that the centralis is rery easily overlooked.

